

Solution to Exercise 2: Moral Hazard

Cheng Chen

School of Economics and Finance
The University of Hong Kong

Question One: First Best

- Suppose the investor (i.e., principal) can observe the entrepreneur's action, and the action is verifiable by the court.

Question One: First Best

- Suppose the investor (i.e., principal) can observe the entrepreneur's action, and the action is verifiable by the court.
- In this case, there are no incentive problems, since the principal can check whether the agent chooses the assigned action.

Question One: First Best

- Suppose the investor (i.e., principal) can observe the entrepreneur's action, and the action is verifiable by the court.
- In this case, there are no incentive problems, since the principal can check whether the agent chooses the assigned action.
- If the principal wants the agent to choose a_I (i.e., shirking):
 - ▶ The constraint is

$$0.2 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.8 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 0 - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCI)$$

and the solution is $w_S = w_F = 1$ (remember the agent is risk averse)

- ▶ Payoff to the principal is

$$V = 0.2 * (30 - 1) + 0.8 * (0 - 1) = 5.$$

Question One: First Best (Cont.)

- If the principal wants the agent to choose a_h (i.e., working hard):
 - ▶ The constraint is

$$0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCh)$$

and the solution is $w_S = w_F = 4$ (remember the agent is risk averse)

- ▶ Payoff to the principal is

$$V = 0.6 * (30 - 4) + 0.4 * (0 - 4) = 14 (> 5).$$

Question One: First Best (Cont.)

- If the principal wants the agent to choose a_h (i.e., working hard):
 - ▶ The constraint is

$$0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCh)$$

and the solution is $w_S = w_F = 4$ (remember the agent is risk averse)

- ▶ Payoff to the principal is

$$V = 0.6 * (30 - 4) + 0.4 * (0 - 4) = 14(> 5).$$

- The principal wants the agent to work hard. And the optimal contract is ($w_S = w_F = 4$).
- Since the investment cost is $I = 5(< 14)$, it is profitable to implement the project.

Question One: First Best (Cont.)

- If the principal wants the agent to choose a_h (i.e., working hard):
 - ▶ The constraint is

$$0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCh)$$

and the solution is $w_S = w_F = 4$ (remember the agent is risk averse)

- ▶ Payoff to the principal is

$$V = 0.6 * (30 - 4) + 0.4 * (0 - 4) = 14 (> 5).$$

- The principal wants the agent to work hard. And the optimal contract is ($w_S = w_F = 4$).
- Since the investment cost is $I = 5 (< 14)$, it is profitable to implement the project.
- First Best (FB) is achieved, since there is no information problem.
 - ▶ The agent is perfectly ensured (no welfare loss due to risk aversion).
FB effort choice (i.e., a_h) is chosen. The project is implemented.

Question Two: Second Best with a_h

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the outcome is observable and verifiable.

Question Two: Second Best with a_h

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the outcome is observable and verifiable.
- If the investor wants the entrepreneur to choose a_h , what is the optimization problem? What are the constraints? What is the optimal contract?

Question Two: Second Best with a_h

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the outcome is observable and verifiable.
- If the investor wants the entrepreneur to choose a_h , what is the optimization problem? What are the constraints? What is the optimal contract?
- Now we have two constraints (PC and IC), since the action is unobservable. The optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{w_S, w_F} \quad & 0.6(30 - w_S) + 0.4(0 - w_F) \\ & 0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCh) \\ & 0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2 \\ & \geq 0.2 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.8 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 0 - 2, \quad (IC_h) \end{aligned}$$

where IC_h says that the agent is *worse off* by choosing a_h than choosing a_l .

Question Two: Second Best with a_h (Cont.)

- Observations:
 - ▶ $w_S > w_F$; PCh holds with equality; ICh holds with equality.
- The optimal contract is

$$2w_S^{1/2} - 2 = d_h + \frac{1 - p_h}{p_h - p_l}(d_h - d_l) = 4$$

and

$$2w_F^{1/2} - 2 = d_h - \frac{p_h}{p_h - p_l}(d_h - d_l) = -1$$

Question Two: Second Best with a_h (Cont.)

- Observations:
 - ▶ $w_S > w_F$; PCh holds with equality; ICh holds with equality.
- The optimal contract is

$$2w_S^{1/2} - 2 = d_h + \frac{1 - p_h}{p_h - p_l}(d_h - d_l) = 4$$

and

$$2w_F^{1/2} - 2 = d_h - \frac{p_h}{p_h - p_l}(d_h - d_l) = -1$$

- Substituting values of p_h , p_l , d_h and d_l into above equations, we arrive at

$$w_S = 9; w_F = 1/4.$$

Question Two: Second Best with a_h (Cont.)

- Observations:
 - ▶ $w_S > w_F$; PCh holds with equality; ICh holds with equality.
- The optimal contract is

$$2w_S^{1/2} - 2 = d_h + \frac{1 - p_h}{p_h - p_l}(d_h - d_l) = 4$$

and

$$2w_F^{1/2} - 2 = d_h - \frac{p_h}{p_h - p_l}(d_h - d_l) = -1$$

- Substituting values of p_h , p_l , d_h and d_l into above equations, we arrive at

$$w_S = 9; w_F = 1/4.$$

- Net payoff to the principal is

$$V = 0.6 * (30 - 9) + 0.4 * (0 - 1/4) = 12.5 (> 5).$$

Question Two: Second Best with a_I

- If the investor wants the entrepreneur to choose a_I , what is the optimization problem? What are the constraints? What is the optimal contract?

Question Two: Second Best with a_I

- If the investor wants the entrepreneur to choose a_I , what is the optimization problem? What are the constraints? What is the optimal contract?
- Now we have two constraints (PC and IC), since the action is unobservable. The optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{w_S, w_F} \quad & 0.2(30 - w_S) + 0.8(0 - w_F) \\ & 0.2 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.8 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCI) \\ & 0.2 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.8 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 \\ & \geq 0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2, \quad (ICI) \end{aligned}$$

Question Two: Second Best with a_I

- If the investor wants the entrepreneur to choose a_I , what is the optimization problem? What are the constraints? What is the optimal contract?
- Now we have two constraints (PC and IC), since the action is unobservable. The optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{w_S, w_F} \quad & 0.2(30 - w_S) + 0.8(0 - w_F) \\ & 0.2 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.8 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 \geq 0, \quad (PCI) \\ & 0.2 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.8 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 \\ & \geq 0.6 * 2w_S^{1/2} + 0.4 * 2w_F^{1/2} - 2 - 2, \quad (ICI) \end{aligned}$$

- Under perfect insurance (i.e., $w_S = w_F$), *ICI* is met trivially
rightarrow Optimal contract is the same as in the FB case:

$$w_S = w_F = 1; \quad V = 0.2 * (30 - 1) + 0.8 * (0 - 1) = 5 (< 12.5).$$

Question Two: Conclusion

- Optimal choice is to incentivize the agent to work hard and set $w_S = 9$ and $w_F = 1/4$.
- It is profitable to implement the project, since $V(a_h) = 12.5 > I = 5$.
Actually, if the principal incentivizes the agent to choose a_l , she can only break even.

Question Two: Conclusion

- Optimal choice is to incentivize the agent to work hard and set $w_S = 9$ and $w_F = 1/4$.
- It is profitable to implement the project, since $V(a_h) = 12.5 > I = 5$. Actually, if the principal incentivizes the agent to choose a_l , she can only break even.
- Compared with the FB case, the principal's payoff goes down from 14 to 12.5.
 - ▶ The payment in case of S has to be higher than the payment in case of L : Incentive concern.
 - ▶ Since the agent is risk averse and earns zero payoff in equilibrium, the payoff to the principal is lower in the SB case.

Question Two: Conclusion

- Optimal choice is to incentivize the agent to work hard and set $w_S = 9$ and $w_F = 1/4$.
- It is profitable to implement the project, since $V(a_h) = 12.5 > I = 5$. Actually, if the principal incentivizes the agent to choose a_l , she can only break even.
- Compared with the FB case, the principal's payoff goes down from 14 to 12.5.
 - ▶ The payment in case of S has to be higher than the payment in case of L : Incentive concern.
 - ▶ Since the agent is risk averse and earns zero payoff in equilibrium, the payoff to the principal is lower in the SB case.
- If the investment cost $I = 13$, then the project is not profitable due to the information problem, since $12.5 < 13$. However, it is profitable in the FB case, since $14 > 13$.
- Market is closed, and there is welfare loss.

Question Three: Second Best and Maximum Punishment

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the project is observable and verifiable.
- Now, suppose that p_h becomes one now (other things unchanged).

Question Three: Second Best and Maximum Punishment

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the project is observable and verifiable.
- Now, suppose that p_h becomes one now (other things unchanged).
- In this case, the principal can set the payment of w_F so low such that IC_h is satisfied.
- Furthermore, there is no loss for the principal, since the agent receives a fixed payment when working hard (remember $p_h = 1$ now).

Question Three: Second Best and Maximum Punishment

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the project is observable and verifiable.
- Now, suppose that p_h becomes one now (other things unchanged).
- In this case, the principal can set the payment of w_F so low such that *IC* is satisfied.
- Furthermore, there is no loss for the principal, since the agent receives a fixed payment when working hard (remember $p_h = 1$ now).
- Optimal contract:
 - ▶ $w_S = 4$: *PC* is satisfied since
$$p_h * 2 * w_S^{1/2} + (1 - p_h) * 2 * w_F^{1/2} - d_h - 2 = 1 * 2 * (4)^{1/2} - 2 - 2 = 0.$$
 - ▶ $w_F = 0$: *IC* is met since
$$p_h * 2 * w_S^{1/2} + (1 - p_h) * 2 * w_F^{1/2} - d_h - 2 = 0$$
$$> p_l * 2 * w_S^{1/2} + (1 - p_l) * 2 * w_F^{1/2} - d_l - 2 = -1.2.$$

Question Three: Second Best and Maximum Punishment

- Suppose the investor *cannot* observe the entrepreneur's action. However, the realization of the project is observable and verifiable.
- Now, suppose that p_h becomes one now (other things unchanged).
- In this case, the principal can set the payment of w_F so low such that *IC* is satisfied.
- Furthermore, there is no loss for the principal, since the agent receives a fixed payment when working hard (remember $p_h = 1$ now).
- Optimal contract:
 - ▶ $w_S = 4$: *PC* is satisfied since
$$p_h * 2 * w_S^{1/2} + (1 - p_h) * 2 * w_F^{1/2} - d_h - 2 = 1 * 2 * (4)^{1/2} - 2 - 2 = 0.$$
 - ▶ $w_F = 0$: *IC* is met since
$$p_h * 2 * w_S^{1/2} + (1 - p_h) * 2 * w_F^{1/2} - d_h - 2 = 0$$
$$> p_l * 2 * w_S^{1/2} + (1 - p_l) * 2 * w_F^{1/2} - d_l - 2 = -1.2.$$
- Maximum punishment: Realization of F is possible, only when agent shirks. Therefore, principal wants to punish agent when F is realized.